

Open Letter to the Orthodox, Clerical Authors of the
“Statement Concerning the Sin of Racism”

Matthew Raphael Johnson
Johnstown, PA
(Revised, September 2019)

I.

After the Charlottesville battle in 2017, the present ruling class was, for the first time in decades, forced to deal with the consequences of its policies. In the past, nationalists could manage maybe a dozen or so men on the street, easily overwhelmed by System-trained leftists. Given the arrogance of the Regime over the last few years especially in the realm of race and anti-white contempt, the specter of almost 2500 men hitting the streets in Virginia, outnumbering the “official opposition” for the first time in recent history, sent the ruling class into a panicked state. It happened in Pikeville, KY and again in Shelbyville, TN. The left's tactics were turned on them and in reply, they've used the media and the law courts to take back lost ground.

Another way the System regrouped was to get the official clergy on board. In late February, many Orthodox clergy signed a self-righteous document claiming that

We, as clergy of the Orthodox Church, affirm that racism, antisemitism, and xenophobia are sins. Anyone within the Orthodox Church who promotes or is sympathetic to any of these must therefore repent before God for the sake of his or her own soul, and for the good of the Church (The original document can be found [here](#)).

The Orthodox church has never in its history concerned itself with these media-driven issues and fashionable phrases. I didn't feel like counting all the names, but it was signed by at least 60 or 70 clergy. This only derives from the authors' desire to appeal to the powers that be. They seek the approval of the present ruling class. It comes from fear, the fear of social exclusion or worse.

It is a maudlin, insipid, mawkish, bathetic attempt to sound “mainstream.” It has no other purpose than to show the ruling class that Orthodox clergy are harmless, marginal eccentrics, not actual dissidents. While the Catacomb Church in Russia defied the Soviet government at risk of the GULag and as the Cossacks risked life and limb to rescue Slavic prisoners from Jewish and Turkish slave drivers, these men are worried that the Left might call them names on the internet. Its a disgusting display of Beta-manhood and a perversion of not only Orthodox doctrine, but the very social presence of the church in America.

The third chapter of the Book of Daniel describes a golden image erected by the Babylonian ruling class at the Plain of Dura in the very same place where the Tower of Babel once stood. Music was meant to signal everyone to venerate it on pain of death. Now, it's highly unlikely that this much gold existed in the whole empire, but rather, this image was a fitting symbol for the ideology of the Babylonian elite. That all state employees were commanded to be present suggests that this was the “elite consensus” of the ruling class; the foundational belief and practice of the empire – that which held it together.

Further, the prophet points out that “people of every nation and language” were forced to venerate this image. Its a powerful symbol for world rule and a statement on the End Times. Gold was a symbol of many things, but two of immense importance were sovereignty and apostasy, such as the Golden Calf in the desert. The rule of money and self will – manifest in the regimes of Egypt, Assyria and Babylon – was the real message of the golden image.

Three children refused to bow to the ruling ideology. Three young men without social standing or significance risked a miserable death by mocking Nebuchadnezzar and the empire's worldview. They were the remnant of the True Church risking everything in order to resist the dominant powers. Other Israelites must have said, “just bow, what good does getting killed do? You'll bring down the whole system on us, you fanatics!” In fact, this was precisely Nebuchadnezzar's argument as he tried to reason with these boys. There's no doubt Israelites of the day condemned this sort of “unnecessary protest” since Babylon had been so good to them. For the sake of respectability, as well as confusion and even open apostasy, the church bowed to the golden image then and now.

The fury of the monarch was such that he overheated the furnace. How wonderful it is to see the king on the defensive, with his fury giving the boys full control over his anger and arrogance. Keep in mind that Babylon was an oligarchy, and Nebuchadnezzar served as a spokesman for it, however powerful in his own right. Kings aren't individuals, but represent offices and archetypes developed over time. He lashed out like a wounded animal.

In the Book of Deuteronomy, we read: “If your brother, a Hebrew man, or a Hebrew woman, be sold unto you, and serve you six years; then in the seventh year you shall let him go free from you.” And further in the same book: “You shall in anywise set him king over you, whom the Lord your God shall choose: one from among your brethren shall you set king over you; you may not put a foreigner over you, which is not your brother.” It proves the immense importance of ethnicity to the prophets. The faith unifies a people, but there has to be a people to unify first. It so happens that this passage is also cited by St. Jerome where he speaks about the love that racial unity provides. It's not the ultimate love, but an important one. Like all these prophetic utterances, it is directed at both racial and religious foreigners.

Orthodox nationalism, that is, ethnic and religious nationalism, is the ancient idea of community and family refusing to bow to the official ideology of individualism, globalism and Zionism. In the USSR, those signing the famed pro-Soviet documents in the 1920s were “respectable” churchmen following the official Patriarchate for the sake of “fighting from within.” At least this is what they must have told themselves. The *Catacombniks* were often sent to the GULags by these men, seeking to curry favor with the system. All of you are members of that very Patriarchate or are in communion with it.

Human weakness is a constant in human life and this writer – for one – is not attempting to judge or mock those who signed this excrement for the sake of personal safety or fear of loss. It is an attempt to offer an objective, however cutting and acidic, criticism of their comfortable conformism, fully cognizant of the haze of name-calling this will earn him. Like the Babylonian king, it might be humorous to see their overreaction and defensive pseudo-intellectual virtue signaling when they read this.

This author has written substantially on the Orthodox church's ancient position on race and nationalism, and it is diametrically opposed to the jejune, sentimental nonsense found in the “Statement.” No one denies that all people can come to Christ, but that's not the issue here. There is no one alive who hates someone solely because of skin color. If that were true, then a white man would hate another white who gets a good tan. When the tan faded, he'd be friendly again.

Reducing the array of racial differences to “skin color” is both dishonest and stupid. There's always another reason behind it, whether true or false. One might think the other race is criminal or corrupt in some way, but its never merely “skin color.” Color is just a marker for something else.

All men have the potential to come to Christ and please God, but races, like families, are part of God's creation and are good for that reason. Hatred for another race is justified when the leaders of that race declare war on yours with strong popular support. Its a normal, natural and biological reaction to defend oneself. If your nation is invaded by an alien tribe and you do nothing, then your inaction is implicated in the bloodshed that follows. Outside of that, hatred is unjustified and sinful like any other passion.

However, even in the case of invasion, hatred can only be directed at the offenders and solely for the protection of one's own people. Under no circumstances does it permit contempt for the race on a personal, individual level. The key distinction is that a race can be both a set of individuals as well as a social force. These are two very different things. A race is a social force independent of the individuals who make it up. On the other hand, to use one example, propaganda and bad leadership have prejudiced blacks against whites in the USA. This is an undeniable fact. Obviously, this doesn't affect each and every person, but it certainly affects black America as a social force. Refusing to mention this is sheer cowardice. Black America is raised to be hostile to whites.

The term “racism,” if it means anything, refers to a belief that one's DNA – manifest in racial background – predisposes each member of that group to some sort of inbred anti-social behavior. Free will becomes non-existent in the face of these racial imperatives. This view the author and almost all nationalists reject.

To the authors of this Statement:

What you've written is a declaration of loyalty to the Leftist American ruling class. This is the Babylonian idea of one world, one religion and one race. Its the lie of Lucifer that the prophets fought with every bone in their body. Ideas you project on people like me are of your own invention. You rely on caricature and public ignorance to get a point across. You have no other options.

We nationalists stand in the place of the Righteous Phineas, while you proudly take up the mantle of Zimiri. The acts of Righteous Phineas were so pleasing to God that it served to atone for all the sins of Israel. We're doing the same thing by resisting the ideology of the Babylonian whore. In this instance, you're enemies of God, our people, our race and our nation.

Spreading a photo-shopped picture of me giving the Roman Salute shows the extent to which you've failed to respond properly to anything I've said here. I don't engage in such vulgar theatrics, especially since I've excoriated Mussolini in several broadcasts over the last few years. The press has been photo-shopping images of us for decades. That's not what I was doing in that picture. Its a fake. I take such puerile attacks as an admission of defeat, but that was established long ago.

Its a shame that John Whiteford has taken that route, since his work was instrumental in my conversion many, many years ago. A man who once wrote very interesting apologetic material has descended into hackneyed name calling and innuendo to attack a view he knows almost nothing about. And what does he gain? Respectability? From whom?

I'm not accusing him of manipulating the image – since the press usually does that – but its so unlike me that spreading it is just a means to harm my reputation. Failing to answer a

syllable of what I've written here, this is the route these “ascetics” take. Now, I certainly don't run from it, but most Americans have no idea what that salute even means. Whiteford's own church likely has altar fans. The “March on Rome” salute (or “Roman Salute”) is identical to the altar fan, right down to the round plate being tilted slightly downward. It's a invocation of the blessing of infinity. The salute is identical to the fan and has the same purpose. Schoolchildren in the USA saluted the flag each morning using that salute for a time in the US. Still, the Roman salute, especially given its association with Mussolini, is not in my normal repertoire. It's puerile and I dislike it.

II.

One of the most misused and misinterpreted line in the New Testament is “There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female: for ye are all one in Christ Jesus.”

Sigh.

This quote has no bearing on nationalism at all. It merely says that one cannot be saved by race. It means that no element of social status determines one's access to grace. St. Augustine clearly didn't believe that Galatians 3:28 was a statement removing functional differences when he penned the following comments on it:

Difference of race or condition or sex is indeed taken away by the unity of faith, but it remains embedded in our mortal interactions, and in the journey of this life the apostles themselves teach that it is to be respected. . . For we observe in the unity of faith that there are no such distinctions. Yet within the orders of this life they persist.

This is precisely the view taken by Orthodox nationalists. Slaves can become Christian and be saved. To be “one” in Christ does not abolish these distinctions. Genders aren't abolished, just granted their place. Nations have personalities and histories as individuals do. Is salvation the negation of one's personhood? No? Then neither is it the negation of one's nationhood. Nations in their diversity certainly exist and are very good, they just don't have any impact on one's ability to be saved.

St. Philaret of Moscow stated: “Love your personal enemies, hate the enemies of Christ, destroy the enemies of the fatherland.” If you refuse to stand against those who are destroying your people, then you partake in their crimes. This is what you're doing and as a result, you also indirectly are the cause of our people's suffering.

On what grounds did the Serbs rise against their Turkish overlords? You've failed to consider that, if races are insignificant or don't exist, than neither does imperialism. Imperialism is an evil only because it is the imposition of one race against another. Otherwise, why would it be a problem?

Why have autocephalous churches on ethnic grounds? The church has always recognized differences in race, ethnicity and language and has organized itself around them. All of these are parts of God's creation. In an individualist, egocentric age, these commonalities are often forgotten.

St. Photius explicitly used national distinctions to justify autocephaly. To make the claim that these are merely “territorial,” rather than ethnic, distinctions is laughable. Obviously the borders aren't drawn arbitrarily, but represent, as best as possible, linguistic (ethnic) groups in

their particularity. St. John Maximovich writes:

Every nation God's special gifts towards the Orthodox people. Each church carries out its mission, in accordance with those talents. Therefore, every nation or ethnic group has its own church, and this division of church authority makes preaching effective. Therefore the Orthodox Church allows for the establishment of new local Churches and new centers of church life. So there are Russian and Slavic Churches. Thus, every nation has its own characteristics and its own spirit that is the foundation of each local church. All of them together make up the one universal Church, and bring into it those characteristics and talents, as the servants brought those talents for God. So God is worshiped with a pleasing combination of spiritual sounds and colors that adorn the church, with the glory of God uniting all peoples. The Russian Church brings its specific color and is seen as harsh sometimes compared to others. Russian saints are examples.

The spiritual manifestation of the Church in all nations are the same, but the types of peoples, our language and customs, are different. The division of ministries and gifts it has pleased the Creator of the Savior God. We know and feel the spiritual benefit and experience the joy of seeing how different people, different characters and talents, and give glory to the One God. Therefore, for example, guided by an authentic ecclesiastical consciousness and feeling, the Serbian Church is being built together with joy by the Russian Church, witnessing the spiritual benefit of its world (*Sermons and Works of our holy father John, Archbishop of Shanghai and San Francisco the Wonderworker*, "Russian Shepherd," San Francisco, 1994, pp. 246-247; translation mine).

This is the nationalism advocated by this writer and Orthodox nationalism in general. It implies that nations have every right to protect their borders and ethnic integrity. Nations are creations of God as families are. The rejection of nationalism implies some "generic Orthodoxy," an abstract abomination that can never exist and has never existed. It comes from the projection of the alienated, suburban mind. While nationalism is natural, cosmopolitanism is an artificial construct. It is unnatural and is the result of modern alienation, not a balanced, moral mind that places the nation and family in a hierarchy of values. The nation is a real, tangible and biological reality that must be respected.

In "The Nationalism of St. Sava," (1935) St. Nikolai Velimirovich says:

What does the national church mean? It denotes an independent church organization, with its central authority from the people; with a national priesthood, the folk language and the national folk expression of the faith. On the other hand, an international or non-national church, with a center outside of the people, with a priesthood from all over, with a language other than a native population, is imperial. and with a uniform, uniformed expression of his religion. What is more natural and useful? Undoubtedly the national church. It has its own justification in the Gospel. The Savior himself commanded his apostles: Go and teach all nations. With these words He recognized the nations as natural units of His church of the universe.

How many times is the word “nation” or “seed” used in the Bible? What do you think this refers to? Later, he says,

The People's State of St. Sava meant the homeland, the land of our fathers, in which one and the same people live. A nation state stretches as far as the sword can reach, but the sword must reach only to the borders of a national state, that is, the fatherland. If the state is allowed to spread as long as the sword can go without this border, then the state ceases to be national, it ceases to be a fatherland and becomes an empire. In this case the state gains in territory but loses in morals; it gains in the material realm but is diminished in the intensity of spiritual and moral power. [This is] because it becomes a mixture of blood, language and peoples, and such a mixture produces fear, restlessness, selfishness and the feeling of constant uncertainty (Nikolai Velimirovich, 1935, translation mine).

Nationalism is therefore an aspect of Orthodox church doctrine. It doesn't change because clergy are afraid of being called names or losing their jobs. It doesn't change because John Whiteford married an Alaskan native. There's nothing offensive about that, but trying to raise it to a theological principle is going too far.

While its true St. Nikolai was sent to Dachau due to his dissent over Operation Punishment, though he wrote a beautiful eulogy for both Milan Nedic and Dmitri Ljotic, two men who the modern church would condemn viciously. This means he was a National Socialist. This is very different from a vulgar Fascist, the creation of Mussolini. St. Nikolai speaks the truth: the empire is the opposite of the nation. Empire is the nation's very negation.

III.

The sheltered, alienated clergy who signed that document seem to be innocent to what's been going on in Europe. There, racial separation is a desperate attempt at survival, not some half-baked, materialist, DNA-obsessed ideology. Whites are slaughtered by non-white gangs in Europe and America in large numbers while thousands of women are raped by well organized gangs. These gangs, it seems, are protected by the state.

In Rome, immigrants are responsible for 52 percent of rapes. In Milan the number goes up to 59 percent. Eight percent of the rapes in Milan are carried out by Egyptians and seven percent by Moroccans. In Bologna, 53 percent of the rapists were immigrants, of whom 11 percent were Moroccans. The Gatestone Institute says:

Most of the crimes were downplayed by German authorities, apparently to avoid fueling anti-immigration sentiments. Almost invariably, the crimes are said to be isolated incidents (*Einzelfälle*), not part of a nationwide problem. Information about sexual assaults can usually be found only in local police reports. Rapes are sometimes treated as local interest stories and covered by local or regional newspapers. Only the most spectacular incidents of rape and sexual assault make it into the national press (Germany's Migrant Rape Crisis Spirals out of Control, Gatestone Institute, 2015).

On February 25, the newspaper, *Die Welt* reported that authorities in the German state of Hesse were suppressing information about migrant-related crimes, ostensibly due to a “lack of

public interest.” On January 24, *Die Welt* reported that the suppression of data about migrant criminality is a “Germany-wide phenomenon.” According to Rainer Wendt, the head of the German police union, “Every police officer knows he has to meet a particular political expectation. It is better to keep quiet [about migrant crime] because you cannot go wrong.”

On January 8, the newspaper, *Bild*, published an article titled, “Are the Police Being Prohibited from Telling the Truth?” The paper quoted a high-ranking police official in Frankfurt, who said:

There are strict instructions from the top not to report offenses committed by refugees. Only direct requests from media representatives regarding specific crimes should be answered. ... It is extraordinary that certain offenders are deliberately *not* being reported about and the information is being classified as confidential (Gatestone, 2015).

Official statistics released in 2009 by the Italian Interior Ministry show that 60 percent of all rapes in Italy are committed by immigrants. These are today about six or seven percent of the country's population, so they commit rape 10 times more than Italians. This is where “racial separatism” comes from. Those who signed the document above don't live in these environments. The Gatestone Institute again,

Forty years after the Swedish parliament unanimously decided to change the formerly homogeneous Sweden into a multicultural country, violent crime has increased by 300% and rapes by 1,472%. Sweden is now number two on the list of rape countries, surpassed only by Lesotho in Southern Africa.

The Dutch press states:

Iraqis, Iranians, Turks and Somalis are dramatically over-represented among convicted rapists in Denmark. More than half of convicted rapists in 2010 have immigrant backgrounds, according to official data from Statistics Denmark. 32 percent with a Danish background and 27 migrants and 7 descendants of immigrants, totaling 34, were convicted of rape in 2010. In the last seven years, more than one out of three convicted of rape was either an immigrant or a descendant of immigrants: 156 of the 450 convicted rapists since 2004 has an immigrant background. Immigrants and their descendants account for only ten percent of the Danish population (“Sweden: Rape Capital of the West,” Gatestone Institute, 2015).

In Norway, recent statistics revealed that a full *100 percent* of violent street-rapes committed in the capital city of Oslo were committed by “non-western” immigrants. It's a similar story in Denmark, where the overwhelming majority of rapes are committed by immigrants, usually Muslim (ibid).

The 2017 Rotherham rape scandal in Britain revealed police and social workers had for a very long time been hiding 1,400 gang rapes of young women by Pakistani migrants. Social workers and others claimed they were afraid to come forward for fear some would accuse them of racism and “Islamophobia.” (“The Refugee Rape Gangs of Sweden,” FPM, 2017).

Mass immigration of (almost entirely) young males is meant to replace the present group of white workers with low-wage, easily manipulated foreigners. This, and only this, is the source of our desire for separation. The men who signed this document have blood on their hands. In a very real way, it is this attitude that's permitted the mass rape of Europeans in their own country. This isn't some ideological posturing, its life and death.

IV.

In the USA, the FBI estimates there are about 40 infantry divisions of non-white gang members in America, largely in the southwestern US. More than that, since in 2011, the FBI estimated 1.9 million gang members in and out of prison. Their numbers have increased by about 90 percent since 2009.

They are better armed than the police. Their drugs are laundered through the stock market, supporting it in times of stress, granting them a modicum of legitimacy few want to discuss. If the gangs were ever unified, they would bring the southwest back into Mexico in a short time as the US military is stretched all over the world. These gangs are dedicated to a firmly racial ideology and are supported by the deep pockets of corporate America. Consult the *La Raza* (The Race) page for confirmation. There, the list of their corporate sponsors are present, which is a cross section of American corporate rule: Xerox, Coca-Cola, American Express and Citibank are always there, as are they in NGOs promoting liberalism worldwide.

According to the FBI, in 2013, a black was six times more likely than a non-black to commit murder, and 12 times more likely to murder someone of another race than the reverse. Edwin Rubenstein wrote:

If New York City were all white, the murder rate would drop by 91 percent, the robbery rate by 81 percent, and the shootings rate by 97 percent. In an all-white Chicago, murder would decline 90 percent, rape by 81 percent, and robbery by 90 percent.

The data come from the FBI's *National Crime Victimization Survey, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Criminal Victimization, 2013*. They deliberately do not distinguish between whites and Hispanics in order to artificially increase the white crime rate. The city of New York does not do this. Rubenstein writes further:

There is another way to express these disparities. If New York City had been all white in 2014, there would have been 32 murder arrests instead of 374, 1,844 robbery arrests instead of 10,163, and 16 arrests for shootings rather than 503. These figures would reflect reductions in these crimes of no less than 91, 81, and 97 percent, respectively. . . .

The imbalance can be expressed differently: When whites commit violence they target other whites 82.4 percent of the time. . . In other words, white violence is directed overwhelmingly at other whites. When blacks commit violence only a minority — 40.9 percent — of their victims are black. Whites are 38.6 percent and Hispanics are 14.5 percent. Hispanic assailants also attack their own group less often than they attack others. Their victims are: Hispanics — 40.1 percent, whites — 50.7 percent, and blacks — 4.7 percent.

This, briefly put, is the existence of a race war. Almost half of the black criminal's victims are white. Yet for white criminals, only four percent of his crimes are directed against non-whites. These truths force men to become nationalists and racialsists. This is reality, not trendy academic theory.

Because of attitudes like yours, police are afraid to do their jobs. After the Ferguson riots, black crime went up in all American cities. Rubinstein writes:

In Baltimore, murders were up a shocking 63 percent and gun violence (including non-fatal shootings) was up 75 percent. Washington, D.C., saw an increase of 54 percent. In Milwaukee, murders were up 65 percent in 2015, and 91 percent of the victims were either black (83 percent) or Hispanic (8 percent). Detroit bucked the trend and saw a decline of 1.3 percent. There was some speculation that the overall rise was caused because of less active policing, or “de-policing.” Officers may have been unwilling to take risks in crime prevention for fear that even a slight misstep could come under career-ending scrutiny.

This is called the “Ferguson Effect.” There's a price to pay for your self-righteous arrogance. For some time, it was faddish to claim that violent crime was decreasing. Its a stupid claim because inner city police departments have been cut to the bone. The LAPD is about half of what it was in 1992. Detroit has been forced to disband its anti-gang unit, leading to gangs controlling parts of the city, making them “no go” areas for police. There's been no decline in violent crime, there's just much fewer police to deal with it (“Trying to Hide the Rise of Violent Crime,” AR, 2015).

Violent crime in many areas is the result of Hispanic criminal gangs.

According to the FBI, criminal gangs – in some regions comprised significantly of illegal aliens – are wreaking havoc in the U.S., with 65 jurisdictions nationwide reporting gang-related offenses committed with firearms account for at least 95 percent of crime in those areas. The FBI further documented gangs in Southwestern border regions consisting of up to 80 percent illegal aliens were committing a multitude of crimes in America, “including drug-related crimes, weapons trafficking, alien smuggling, human trafficking, prostitution, extortion, robbery, auto theft, assault, homicide, racketeering, and money laundering.” The information was contained in the FBI’s 79-page National Gang Report published in 2013, the most recently released extensive agency report providing an overview of gang activities and trends in the United States (FBI Data Back Trump's Claims on Illegals, WND 2015).

The MS-13, the Eme, Surenos, and TB are the most violent, well armed gangs in America. They are also 100 percent Hispanic. Heavy machine guns and rocket launchers have been reported in their arsenal. They're destroying the southwestern prison system. They're organizing contraband distribution that the cash strapped prison system cannot handle.

As the police have been almost halved in US cities, the gang population has grown by at least 300 percent. In Detroit, most of the budget goes to paying off these gangs. “Police wrongdoing” is absurd. In 2001, the department had 4100 members. In 2011, its down to 1400 and falling. Most of its precincts are only open part time, and others only have one squad car.

Their weapons are old and jam all the time. Gangs rule the city, but all the media cares about is “police brutality.” Cops get paid around \$10 an hour. Cops are failing due to fatigue. Detroit isn't unique.

Were you aware of this? This is why nationalism is righteous today. Black gangs control Detroit. Is it “racist” to leave? It is according to your self-righteous proclamations. Violent crime is not falling, there are just no cops, and those that remain, from Stockholm to Detroit, are afraid to do their jobs.

Much of the crime in Los Angeles city is gang related, amounting to about 50 percent. Yet, out of 463 identified gangs, 11 are white, with a total of 600 members. Digging further, these “white gangs” are figments of the imagination. Biker clubs aren't inherently criminal. Skinhead organizations aren't gangs, but political groups used by these statisticians to pad the numbers. MS-13 is an inherently criminal organization. Hispanics alone have 246 gangs with almost 22,000 members. The city of Los Angeles and the California Department of Justice refused to collect more demographic information on gangs after 2005 (which itself, begs an explanation).

V.

In your desire to be accepted by the world, you claim that interracial marriage is perfectly acceptable. Yet, in the Book of Tobit, we read:

Beware of all whoredom, my son, and chiefly take a wife of the seed of thy fathers, and take not a strange woman to wife, which is not of thy father's tribe: for we are the children of the prophets, Noe [Noah], Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob: remember, my son, that our fathers from the beginning, even that they all married wives of their own kindred, and were blessed in their children, and their seed shall inherit the land. Now therefore, my son, love thy brethren, and despise not in thy heart thy brethren, the sons and daughters of thy people, in not taking a wife of them.... (Tobit 4:12-13).

Have you now rejected the Old Testament? Interracial marriage is not evil on theological grounds, but it flies in the face of the facts and common sense. The black male is raised on anti-white hatred. Few can deny this, though blacks are loathe to admit it. How will it not spill over into “dating?” Such couples have 200 percent the divorce rate of monoracial couples. The Burnett study of 2005 showed that black males are 7.7 times more likely to beat their white wife than a black one. Further, this does not take into consideration that, as of 2006, the percentage of new HIV cases was 83.7 percent black as opposed to just over 11 percent white.

Yet, due to the fear of being called names, white women are pressured into playing Russian Roulette. Several years before that, the Mercy study of 1989, published in the *American Journal of Public Health*, showed that a white wife is murdered by her black “husband” at a rate over 12 times that of monoracial couples. An inbred hate of whites is the most obvious, but not the only, cause. Dozens of other studies can be cited here, even in an academic environment where mentioning such things can and does lead to employment termination (Mercy J Fatal Violence among Spouses in the United States, *AJPH*, 1989). Due to this pressure, the numbers are almost certainly higher.

In 2005, the Justice Department released its statistics on rape and race. In 2004, the number of white women raped was 111,590, though it remains unclear if these refer to those

making the accusation or those who have achieved the confession or conviction of the rapist. Of this number, more than half were perpetrated by black men, who make up 12 percent of the population. Shockingly, there were *zero* instances of interracial rape of black women where the perpetrator was white. The same Report's statistical tables for 2004 show black males performing about 56 percent of all rapes in the USA.

Given the above, the results of interracial marriage and procreation – in the US, almost exclusively a black man and a white woman – are approaching the point where it is sinful. It places white women at risk. Having a white woman date a black man is knowingly putting her in harms way. As black men are brainwashed more and more to loathe whites, the violence against these ignorant, hapless females will only increase (Intimate Partner Violence in Interracial Couples: A Comparison to White and Ethnic Minority Monoracial Couples. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 2010).

Your attempts at currying favor with the world ignore these facts. You're sheltered and afraid. You have no idea how the world works. Your sycophantic letter is disgusting and transparent. Attitudes like yours are why this happens and why immigrants continue to pour into our countries. You are a part of the planned destruction of European culture (that's Christian culture, by the way).

VI.

The authors of this “Statement” claim that “Antisemitism” is a grave sin. The church fathers say differently. St. John Chrysostom writes:

Many, I know, respect the Jews and think that their present way of life is a venerable one. This is why I hasten to uproot and tear out this deadly opinion. I said that the synagogue is no better than a theater and I bring forward a prophet as my witness. Surely the Jews are not more deserving of belief than their prophets. “You had a harlot's brow; you became shameless before all.” Where a harlot has set herself up, that place is a brothel. But the synagogue is not only a brothel and a theater; it also is a den of robbers and a lodging for wild beasts. Jeremiah said: “Your house has become for me the den of a hyena.” He does not simply say “of wild beast,” but “of a filthy wild beast,” and again: “I have abandoned my house, I have cast off my inheritance.” But when God forsakes a people, what hope of salvation is left? When God forsakes a place, that place becomes the dwelling of demons.

The signatories of this article “condemning racism” are so afraid of social exclusion that they'll put their souls in danger. St. John is directly addressing you! You are all very much aware of this, however. You have the nerve to cite Paul, the same man who said in 1 Thessalonians 2:14-16:

The Jews, who both killed the Lord Jesus, and the prophets, and have persecuted us, and please not God, and are adversaries to all men; prohibiting us to speak to the nations, that they may be saved, to fill up their sins always: for the wrath of God has come upon them to the end.

St. Augustine writes,

Judaism, since Christ, is a corruption; indeed, Judas is the image of the Jewish people: their understanding of Scripture is carnal; they bear the guilt for the death of the Savior, for through their fathers they have killed Christ. The Jews held Him; the Jews insulted Him; the Jews bound Him; they crowned Him with thorns; they scourged Him; they hanged Him upon a tree.

St. Cyprian of Carthage says:

Nor is it sufficient that we call Him who is in Heaven 'Father.' We must add to the name 'Our Father.' This name rebukes and condemns the Jews who not only spurned Christ faithlessly, but also cruelly executed Him Who was announced to them by the prophets, and sent first to their nation. No longer may they call God their Father, because the Lord confounds and refutes them, saying: 'your father is the devil' (John 8:44). O sinful nation, O people weighed down with guilt, breed of evil-doers, lawless children, you have turned your backs on the Lord and have provoked the Holy One of Israel.

Let's be clear: The "Christ killer" accusation is often juvenile and is usually the mark of a "false" hate crime. Yet, these fathers mean something else: that the Pharisees are "killing" him though slander, propaganda and violence. Of course, they're not literally guilty except in that sense. Most powerfully of all, Pope St. Sylvester I at the First Council of Nicaea:

Concerning the prohibition of usury and base gain by the clergy; and concerning the prohibition against conversing or eating with the Jews. No priest shall set money out at interest or take unfair profit or be friendly or sociable with Jews; nor should anyone take food or drink with the Jews; for if this was decreed by the holy apostles, it is incumbent upon the faithful to obey their command; and the synod shall excommunicate anyone who does not comply with this order.

You're all well aware I can cite hundreds more. Even canon law won't sway you? Have you lost your fear of God? Why do you fear the ruling class rather than the God you're consecrated to serve? Even the *Jewish Encyclopedia* says:

The Jewish religion as it is today traces its descent, without a break, through all the centuries, from the Pharisees. Their leading ideas and methods found expression in a literature of enormous extent, of which a very great deal is still in existence. The Talmud is the largest and most important single member of that literature (Vol. VIII, p. 474, 1942)

This is why you resort to name-calling and caricature. You don't have a factual leg to stand on. Whether you know it or not, you serve Baal, you serve the god of this world; the ruler of this age. Those standing for nationalism do so out of another kind of fear: the fear of violence, the fear of violent death at the hands of these invaders. Non-white crime in Europe and America is a fact admitted by all law enforcement agencies. If you deny it, you partake in it.

So naturally, one might ask the question: Why do you think the way you do? Its historically unique to this age. What you think you know is based largely on rumor, caricature and convenient distortion. Where does it come from? The men who rule the globe's media might give us a clue. These media heads all seem to have one strange trait in common:

Fox News, Peter Chernin
Paramount Pictures, Brad Grey
Walt Disney, Robert Igo
Sony Pictures, Michael Lynton, and before him, Amy Pascal
Warner Brothers, Barry Meyer
CBS, Leslie Moonves
MGM, Harry Sloan
NBC/Universal Studios, Jeff Zucker.
Viacom, Sumner Redstone
NBC: Jeffrey Zucker
President of NBC News, Neil Shapiro
MTV, Brian Graden
AOL/Time Warner, Jonathan Miller
New York Daily News; US News and World Report, Mortimer Zuckerman

This is a very brief list of names. What trait might I be referring to? Are these all Hindu names? Jews make up two percent of the US population, yet they dominate the press. American Jews are not averse to admitting this. It's a point of pride to many of them. Alfred Lillienthal, in his revealing book, *The Zionist Connection*, stated:

The most effective component of Jewish connection is probably that of media control. It is well known that American opinion molders have long been largely influenced by a handful of powerful newspapers, including the New York Times, the Washington Post, and the St. Louis-Post Dispatch (All Jewish Families). The Newhouse Empire of the Jewish brothers Samuel, Donald, and Theodore Newhouse, owns 40 local newspapers across the U.S.A. These include the Newark Star Ledger, the Cleveland Plain Dealer, the Portland Oregonian, and the St. Louis Globe-Democrat. Then, there are the vast array of magazines run by the Jewish Newhouse family-including the New Yorker, Vogue, Golf Digest, Glamour, Gentleman's Quarterly (GQ), and the massively circulated newspaper insert, Parade magazine.

Is he making all this up, gentlemen? Is it all one huge coincidence? Some of you claim to be theologians, that is, claim knowledge in the history of theological thought. Yet, few of you know the foundational fact that the Old Testament has nothing to do with modern Jewry. The Israelites of the Old Testament are neither racially nor theologically related to today's American Jews. You watch your bishops light the menorah in contravention of the Apostolic Canons and pretend to be clergymen. "Holiness" has nothing to do with conformity to the world, as you'd have it. It comes, not from being a nice guy or a diplomat, but from fighting this world power in the name of the Truth, the same Truth Christ said he was.

Today's Jews look to the Talmud is their main source of authority according to the *Jewish*

Encyclopedia. The Talmud is their holy book, not the Torah. It condemns Christ, Mary and the Prophets. It is the result of the Babylonian captivity and is the source of Jewish paganism. Hasidic Jews are pagans, using amulets and magic spells as a normal part of their religion. It explicitly condemns the Old Testament prophets because they dared to condemn Israel.

Are you so sheltered that you don't know what their holy book says about your religion?

“Yashu’ (derogatory for “Jesus”) is in Hell being boiled in hot excrement” (Gittin 57a; as a note, “Yashu” is an acronym for the Jewish curse, “May Jesus' name be wiped out forevermore”).

“Yashu was sexually immoral and worshiped a brick” (Sanhedrin 107b).

“Yashu was cut off from the Jewish people for his wickedness and refused to repent” (Sotah 47a).

“Miriam the hairdresser had sex with many men” (Shabbath 104b).

“She who was the descendant of princes and governors [Mary] played the harlot with carpenters.” (Sanhedrin 106a).

“Christians who reject the Talmud will go to hell and be punished there for all eternity” (Rosh Hashanah 17a).

“If a Jew murders a goy [gentile] there will be no death penalty” (Sanhedrin 57a).

“What a Jew steals from a goy he may keep” (Sanhedrin 57a).

“Jews may use subterfuges to circumvent a goy” (Baba Kamma 113a).

“All children of the goyim are animals” (Yebamoth 98a).

“Girls born of the goyim are in a state of *niddah* (the menstrual state) from birth” (Abodah Zarah 36b).

Could it be that you learned theologians have never come across this? Did you ever wonder why even an abridged version of the Talmud isn't available in bookstores as the Bible is? And some of you dare to claim the ability to teach others? Rather, you teach servility to the dominant powers. Unlike most, I've read the Talmud in graduate school in its entirety. These citations are only a smattering of what's in there.

VII.

The authors of this and other “Statements” have rejected the heritage of the Orthodox church. You've spat on the words of St. John Chrysostom, St. Nikolai, St. John of Kronstadt, St. Philaret and many others in the name of Postmodern American universalism. You've denied the First Synod of Nicaea and the words of St. Sylvester. And for what? What are you gaining from this?

Get out of the suburbs. Turn off your damned TV. Stop absorbing the Jewish media and its caricatures. *Act like Christian men and not like college girls agreeing with the professor for extra credit for once*. Whites, as I've proven here, are under attack.

In 2017 in downtown Chicago, a group of black teens abducted and tortured a retarded white kid for days, yelling anti-white racial epithets such as “fuck white people,” being quite direct, to the camera. They streamed it live on Facebook. It was their answer to the Trump election win, since they called him “Trump” as they slashed at his body. They forced him to drink urine if he didn't say “fuck Trump” to the camera. They showed no remorse. The police rejected any racial motive and said he was targeted only because he was “special needs.” The *Sun Times* said there “was no reason to believe race was a factor.” Why would they do this?

The Chicago police department, mostly black, refused to call this a hate crime and tried to downplay its racial elements. John Lemon, “journalist” for CNN, said “its not evil, it was just kids doing stupid things. They had bad home training.” They were all 18. Pleading guilty, none were sent to prison. Cook County Circuit Judge William Hooks said that he could have sentenced them to prison, but did not because “I’m not sure if I did that you’d be coming out any better.”

This means there's no neutral ground. The kids broadcasted it live because they'd been taught that, because they're black and their victim white, there would be no consequences. They got the message that white males had no place to hide, and they were right. They got away with it.

If you stick with the sewage showered upon us in that document and many others like it, the blood that these animals spill *is on your hands*. None of us want that. The Final Judgment is far more important than what the ruling class thinks of you. I beg you to reconsider your position and stop the childish name-calling. Admit that you don't know most of the facts and, quite possibly, you don't want to know. There's no neutral ground and no place to hide.

The same forces that took over Russia in 1918-1921 are today threatening all Christian societies. You can't deny a word I've written here. All have been based on fact, not emotion. Rather, I've been treated to a stream of mindless name calling, as I predicted when I first wrote this. Not a single substantial element of this paper has been challenged. It can't be without attacking the very foundations of the church of which you claim to be members.

On the other hand, your document is merely repackaged, university diversity-office pabulum. Its over-dramatic virtue signaling that negates your manhood, your duties as a citizen and your virtue as clergy. What you think you know about the modern world is false. It's a dream state, a delirium induced by a tightly controlled media, academia and social convention. It might be comfortable, but this doesn't make it true. You accept this relative to sexual morality, why not race or the Jews? Its only because the social price goes way up for that. None of you take the views you do out of any dispassionate understanding of facts or texts, its solely to be seen as “reasonable” Americans.

The truth is that the “world” is dictating your views. Spreading fake pictures of me and many, many others is hardly the mark of a scholarly or even honest approach to the matter. Stop worrying about the social cost of the truth. If you lose a job, will God not provide? Is your very faith the problem here?

Stop being afraid.